



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
700,165	2/11/85	CLOM	JBP-246

1

L I POYSKY				
125	જ			

	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
	DATE MAILED:
EXAMINER IN	TERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personne	nel):
(1) Mr. Berman	(3) Mr. Lipovsky
(2) THE SCHENKMAN	(4)
Date of interview	
Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ appli	cant pplicant's representative).
	·
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:	If yes, brief description:
Accessed The second of the second sec	tons to account to the second
Agreement	ims in question. was not reached.
Claims discussed: ALL	
Identification of prior art discussed:	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	1/- , 10
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agree	ement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant will
Jane the The automina	in all to the and la
_ consider the seconds	g the showings presently in It
explanations regarden	of the showings presently in Il
case The claims will	he reconsidered in terms of
the same will be	-the district the state of
statistical support of	- The sulmitted data o
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendmen	ots, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be ender the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)
	ne contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS
NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF T	THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items $1-7$ on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the seminor must be month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record	,
The second of th	5 CHO SUBSTRACTOR OF THE HITCH VIEW,
☐ Since the examiner's interview summary above (including requirements that may be present in the last Office action	any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the
response requirements of the last Office action.	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	Joseph 4- Lysoveky

PTOL-413 (rev. 1-81)